Effect of Kurtosis-type of **Primordial Non-Gaussianity on** Halo Mass Function arXiv:1103.2586 Nagoya University/IPMU, U. Tokyo Naoshi Sugiyama with Shuichiro Yokoyama, Joe Silk, Saleem Zaroubi

Utilize (1)void abundance (2)early star formation (3)most massive object at high z, as a probe of "non-skewed" non-Gaussianity

Kurtosis type non-Gaussianity Kurtosis: 4<sup>th</sup> order, non-Skewed  $\zeta = \zeta_{\rm G} + \frac{3}{5} f_{\rm NL} \left( \zeta_{\rm G}^2 - \langle \zeta_{\rm G}^2 \rangle \right) + \frac{9}{25} g_{\rm NL} \zeta_{\rm G}^3$ 

Trispectrum can be written as:

 $\langle \zeta(\mathbf{k}_1)\zeta(\mathbf{k}_2)\zeta(\mathbf{k}_3)\zeta(\mathbf{k}_4)\rangle = (2\pi)^3 T_{\zeta}(k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4)\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_1+\mathbf{k}_2+\mathbf{k}_3+\mathbf{k}_4) ,$ 

 $T_{\zeta}(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) = \tau_{\rm NL}(P_{\zeta}(k_1)P_{\zeta}(k_2)P_{\zeta}(k_{13}) + 11 \text{ perms.}) + \frac{54}{25}g_{\rm NL}(P_{\zeta}(k_1)P_{\zeta}(k_2)P_{\zeta}(k_3) + 3 \text{ perms.})$ 

 $\tau_{\rm NL} = \frac{36}{25} f_{\rm NL}^2$  This is true, only when primordial fluctuations are generated from a single scalar field.

For multi-field inflation,  $\tau_{NL}$  is a parameter but with constraint:

 $\tau_{\rm NL} \ge \frac{36}{25} f_{\rm NL}^2$  Unlike  $g_{\rm NL} \tau_{\rm NL}$  has a lower bound. Set a constraint on multi-field inflation.

T. Suyama and M. Yamaguchi 2008

Kurtosis type non-Gaussianity Kurtosis: 4<sup>th</sup> order, non-Skewed  $\zeta = \zeta_{\rm G} + \frac{3}{5} f_{\rm NL} \left( \zeta_{\rm G}^2 - \langle \zeta_{\rm G}^2 \rangle \right) + \frac{9}{25} g_{\rm NI} \zeta_{\rm G}^3$ 

Trispectrum can be written as:

 $\langle \zeta(\mathbf{k}_1)\zeta(\mathbf{k}_2)\zeta(\mathbf{k}_3)\zeta(\mathbf{k}_4)\rangle = (2\pi)^3 T_{\zeta}(k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4)\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_1+\mathbf{k}_2+\mathbf{k}_3+\mathbf{k}_4) ,$ 

 $T_{\zeta}(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) = \tau_{\rm NL}(P_{\zeta}(k_1)P_{\zeta}(k_2)P_{\zeta}(k_{13}) + 11 \text{ perms.}) + \frac{54}{25}g_{\rm NL}(P_{\zeta}(k_1)P_{\zeta}(k_2)P_{\zeta}(k_3) + 3 \text{ perms.})$ 

 $\tau_{\rm NL} = \frac{36}{25} f_{\rm NL}^2$  This is true, only when primordial fluctuations are generated from a single scalar field.

For multi-field inflation,  $\tau_{NL}$  is a parameter but with constraint:

$$\tau_{\rm NL} \geqslant \frac{36}{25} f_{\rm NL}^2 / 2$$

Eiichiro's Talk

T. Suyama and M. Yamaguchi 2008

## **Probability Distribution Func.**







## **Probability Distribution Func.**



## Take the ratio with Gaussian case

# Huge Difference in the tails Distinguishable?



## Limits from WMAP

 $-10 < f_{\rm NL} < 74 (95\% CL)$  $-7.4 \times 10^{5} < g_{\rm NL} < 8.2 \times 10^{5}$  $-0.6 \times 10^{4} < \tau_{\rm NL} < 3.3 \times 10^{4}$  Komatsu et al. 2010

Smidt, Amblard, Byrnes, Cooray, Heavens, Munshi 2010

Here, in order to see the effect in the clear manner, we take  $\tau_{\rm NL} = 10^6$ 

**Non-Gaussian Mass Function**  
• Mass Function: Number of Collapsed Objects  
• Mass Function: Number of Collapsed Objects  
• Probability Density Function (PDF) is needed  

$$\delta_{R} = \int \frac{d^{3}\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{3}} W_{R}(k) \delta(\mathbf{k}, z)$$

$$W_{R}(k) = 3 \left( \frac{\sin(kR)}{k^{3}R^{3}} - \frac{\cos(kR)}{k^{2}R^{2}} \right)$$

$$W_{R}(k) = 3 \left( \frac{\sin(kR)}{k^{3}R^{3}} - \frac{\cos(kR)}{k^{3}R^{3}} \right)$$

$$W_{R}(k) = 3 \left( \frac{\sin(kR)}{k^{3}R^{3}} - \frac{\cos(kR)}{k^{3}R^{3}} \right)$$

$$W_{R}(k) = 3 \left( \frac{\sin(kR)}{k^{3}R^{3}} - \frac{\sin(kR)}{k^{3}R^{3}} \right)$$

<

**Probability Distribution Func.** 

Edgeworth expansion

г

#### Juszkiewicz et al.95, LoVerde et al.08

$$F(\nu)d\nu = d\nu \left[ c_0 F_G(\nu) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_m}{m!} (-1)^m H_m(\nu) F_G(\nu) \right]$$

$$F_G(\nu) \equiv (2\pi)^{-1/2} \exp(-\nu^2/2)$$

### Coefficients can be evaluated:

$$c_0 = 1$$
,  $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ ,  $c_3 = -S_3(R)\sigma_R$ ,  $c_4 = S_4(R)\sigma_R^2$ 

$$c_5 = -S_5(R)\sigma_R^3$$
,  $c_6 = 10S_3(R)^2\sigma_R^2 + S_6(R)\sigma_R^4$ ,  $\cdots$ ,

### Non-Gaussian PDF can be obtained:

$$F(\nu)d\nu = \frac{d\nu}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\nu^2/2\right) \left[ 1 + \frac{S_3(R)\sigma_R}{6} H_3(\nu) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{S_3(R)\sigma_R}{6}\right)^2 H_6(\nu) + \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{S_3(R)\sigma_R}{6}\right)^3 H_9(\nu) + \frac{S_4(R)\sigma_R^2}{24} H_4(\nu) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{S_4(R)\sigma_R^2}{24}\right)^2 H_8(\nu) + \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{S_4(R)\sigma_R^2}{24}\right)^3 H_{12}(\nu) + \cdots \right],$$

## D'Amico et al. 2010 Halo Mass Function

$$\frac{dn}{dM}(M,z)dM = -dM\frac{2\bar{\rho}}{M}\frac{d}{dM}\int_{\delta_c/\sigma_R}^{\infty}d\nu F(\nu) \\
= -dM\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\frac{\bar{\rho}}{M}\exp\left[-\frac{\nu_c^2}{2}\right]\left\{\frac{d\ln\sigma_R}{dM}\nu_c\left[1\right] \\
+\frac{S_3(R)\sigma_R}{6}H_3(\nu_c) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{S_3(R)\sigma_R}{6}\right)^2H_6(\nu_c) + \frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{S_3(R)\sigma_R}{6}\right)^3H_9(\nu_c) \\
+\frac{S_4(R)\sigma_R^2}{24}H_4(\nu_c) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{S_4(R)\sigma_R^2}{24}\right)^2H_8(\nu_c) + \frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{S_4(R)\sigma_R^2}{24}\right)^3H_{12}(\nu_c)\right] \\
+\frac{d}{dM}\left(\frac{S_3(R)\sigma_R}{6}\right)H_2(\nu_c) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dM}\left(\frac{S_3(R)\sigma_R}{6}\right)^2H_5(\nu_c) + \frac{1}{6}\frac{d}{dM}\left(\frac{S_3(R)\sigma_R}{6}\right)^3H_8(\nu_c) \\
+\frac{d}{dM}\left(\frac{S_4(R)\sigma_R^2}{24}\right)H_3(\nu_c) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dM}\left(\frac{S_4(R)\sigma_R^2}{24}\right)^2H_7(\nu_c) + \frac{1}{6}\frac{d}{dM}\left(\frac{S_4(R)\sigma_R^2}{24}\right)^3H_{11}(\nu_c)\right\} +$$

## Ratio to Gaussian Mass Function

 $R_{\rm NG}(M,z) \equiv \frac{dn(M,z)/dM}{dn_{\rm G}(M,z)/dM}$ 

$$\frac{dn_{\rm G}}{dM}(M,z)dM = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\frac{\bar{\rho}}{M}\exp\left[-\frac{\nu_c^2}{2}\right]\frac{d\ln\sigma_R}{dM}\nu_c dM$$

## Fitting: Skewness & Kurtosis

In the squeezed limit (local type)

Skewness

$$\sigma_R S_3(R) = 4.3 \times 10^{-4} f_{\rm NL} \times \sigma_R^{0.13} \ (10^{12} h^{-1} M_{\odot} < M < 2 \times 10^{15} h^{-1} M_{\odot})$$

De Simone, Maggiore & Riotto 2010; Enqvist, Hotchkiss & Taanila 2010

## from $g_{\rm NI}$

$$\sigma_R^2 S_4^g(R) = 9.4 \times 10^{-8} g_{\rm NL} \times \sigma_R^{0.27} \ (10^{12} h^{-1} M_{\odot} < M < 2 \times 10^{15} h^{-1} M_{\odot})$$

Chongchitnan & Silk 2010a; Enqvist, Hotchkiss & Taanila 2010

## from $\tau_{\rm NL}$

 $\sigma_R^2 S_4^\tau(R) = 1.9 \times 10^{-7} \tau_{\rm NL} \times \sigma_R^{0.25} \ (10^{12} h^{-1} M_{\odot} < M < 2 \times 10^{15} h^{-1} M_{\odot})$ 

## **Mass Function**



## Mass Function (ratio to Gauss)



# **Application 1: Early Star Formation**

A simple analytic model global star formation density Somerville, et al. 2003

$$\dot{\rho}_* = e_* \rho_b \frac{d}{dt} F_h(M_{\rm vir} > M > M_{\rm crit}, t)$$

e<sub>\*</sub>: star formation efficiency: 0.001 - 0.002  $M_{crit}$ : minimum collapsed mass:  $10^{6}h^{-1}M_{SUN}$   $M_{vir}$ : virial mass  $T_{vir}=10^{4}K$  $F_{b}$ : fraction of the total mass in collapsed objects

$$F_h(M_{\rm vir} > M > M_{\rm crit}, z) = \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}} \int_{M_{\rm crit}}^{M_{\rm vir}} M \frac{dn}{dM} (M, z) dM \, \bigtriangledown \, \mathbb{N}G$$

$$\frac{dn_{\gamma}}{dt}(t) = e_* \rho_b N_{\gamma} \left( F_h(t) - F_h(t - \tau_{\rm III}) \right)$$

 $N_{\gamma}$ : # of photons/s/ $M_{SUN}$  $\tau_{III}$ : life time of PopIII star

# **Application 1: Early Star Formation**

Cumulative # of ionizing photons/baryons

$$\frac{n_{\gamma}}{n_H}(z) \simeq \mu m_p e_* N_{\gamma} F_h(M_{\rm vir} > M > M_{\rm crit}, z) \tau_{\rm III}$$

00

Good measure of reionization of inter galactic medium Apprrox.  $n_{\gamma}/n_{\rm H} = 10$  is the epoch of reionization

## Time evolution of Cumulative number of ionizing photons



## Time evolution of Cumulative number of ionizing photons Non-Gaussian vs Gaussian



# **Application 1: Early Star Formation**

- Non-Gaussianity doesn't affect much about global reionization history
- For the first star formation, however, non-Gaussisan, especially Kurtosis type one enhances reionization a lot!

# Application 2. High-Redshift Massive Clusters

**XMMU J2235.3-2557** 

at z=1.4, M=( $6.4\pm1.2$ )×10<sup>14</sup>M<sub>SUN</sub>

• Cayon et al. found to explain this,  $f_{NL}$ =449 is needed

Ruled out by WMAP constraints

This  $f_{NL}$  corresponds to  $\tau_{NL}$ =1.7×10<sup>6</sup> to obtain same  $R_{NG}$ 

# **Application 3. Void Abundance**

## Press Schechter

#### Kamionkowski, Verde, Jimenez 2009

$$\frac{dn^{\rm void}(R)}{dR}dR = -dR \times \frac{6}{4\pi R^3} \frac{d}{dR} \int_{-\infty}^{\delta_v/\sigma_R} F(\nu) d\nu$$



$$\frac{dn_{\rm G}^{\rm void}(R)}{dR} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{3}{4\pi R^4} \exp\left[-\frac{\delta_v^2}{2\sigma_R^2}\right] \frac{\delta_v}{\sigma_R} \frac{d\ln\sigma_R}{d\ln R}$$

## Non-Gaussian

$$\frac{dn^{\text{void}}(R)}{dR} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{3}{4\pi R^4} \exp\left[-\frac{\delta_v^2}{2\sigma_R^2}\right] \left\{ \frac{d\ln\sigma_R}{d\ln R} \frac{\delta_v}{\sigma_R} \left[1 + \frac{S_3(R)\sigma_R}{6} H_3(\delta_v/\sigma_R) + \frac{S_4(R)\sigma_R^2}{24} H_4(\delta_v/\sigma_R)\right] + \frac{d}{d\ln R} \left(\frac{S_3(R)\sigma_R}{6}\right) H_2(\delta_v/\sigma_R) + \frac{d}{d\ln R} \left(\frac{S_4(R)\sigma_R^2}{24}\right) H_3(\delta_v/\sigma_R)\right] \right\}$$

Void Abundance as a function of the size of void, R Ratio between non-Gaussian and Gaussian cases



# Application 3. Void Abundance

Since it reflects the negative tail of the distribution function, τ<sub>NL</sub> and f<sub>NL</sub> work opposite directions

Positive \u03c0<sub>NL</sub> increases void abundance
 Positive f<sub>NL</sub> decreases void abundance

Combining Void abundance with other observations, e.g., massive cluster, early star formation, we can make a distinction between skewed and non-skewed non-Gaussian distribution

## Summary

- We study the effect of the Kurtosis type primordial non-Gaussianity on structure formation.
  - obtain a formula of the halo mass function with primordial non-Gaussianities, including  $f_{\rm NL}, g_{\rm NL}, \tau_{\rm NL}$
  - find the enhancement of the formation of the massive and high redshift objects, especially high density peak object for the Kurtosis type.
    - early phase of reionization of the Universe
    - massive clusters at high redshift
    - abundance of voids

potential to distinguish skewness & kurtosis types

# Another application of the mass function

## Ionized bubble number count as a probe of non-Gaussianity

Hiroyuki Tashiro<sup>1</sup>, and Naoshi Sugiyama<sup>2,3,4</sup> <sup>1</sup>Center for Particle Physics and Phenomenology (CP3), Université catholique de Louvain, Chemin du Cyclotron, 2, B-1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium <sup>2</sup>Department of Physics and Astrophysics, Nagoya University, Chikusa, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan <sup>3</sup>Institute for Physics and Mathematics of the Universe, University of Tokyo, 5-1-5 Kashiwa-no-Ha, Kashiwa, Chiba, 277-8582, Japan <sup>4</sup>Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute for the Origin of Particles and the Universe, Nagoya University, I

#### arXiv:1104.0139

Utilize the number of Ionized bubbles at the epoch of reionization as a probe of non-Gaussianity



# Simple Analytic Model of Ionized Bubbles

- Dark Halo whose virial temperature >10<sup>4</sup>K collapses, and forms spherical halo
- Size of ionized bubble (Loeb et al. 2005)

$$R_{\text{max}} = 0.138 f_{\text{esc}}^{1/3} \left(\frac{M}{10^9 M_{\odot}}\right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{1+z}{11}\right)^{-1} \\ \times \left(\frac{\Omega_m h^2}{0.14}\right)^{-1/3} \left(\frac{N_{\gamma} f_*}{430}\right)^{1/3} \text{ Mpc}$$

- fesc : escape fraction of photons, assumed to be 0.05
   N γ : number of ionized photons per baryon in stars, 43,000 (Bromm et al . 2001)
- $\blacksquare$  f<sub>\*</sub>: star formation efficiency, 0.05

# Number Count of Ionized Bubbles

Bubbles are detected as holes in 21cm map
In actual observations, holes are smeared...



# Surface brightness temperature contrast of an ionized bubble (hole or not hole)

$$B(\theta, z) = \frac{Y_{obs}(\theta, z)}{T_{21}(z) \int d\Omega' \exp\left[-\frac{\theta'^2}{2\sigma^2}\right]}$$

 $\sigma = \theta_{\rm FWHM} / \sqrt{8 \ln 2}$  Gaussian resolution

- T<sub>21</sub>(z): 21cm background temperature
- $Yobs(\theta)$ : surface brightness temperature

$$Y_{obs}(\theta, z) = \int d\Omega' \ T(\theta - \theta', z) \exp\left[-\frac{(\theta - \theta')^2}{2\sigma^2}\right]$$

Angular profile 
$$T(\theta, z)$$
  
 $T(\theta, z) = \begin{cases} 0, & \theta < \theta_R \\ T_{21}(z), & \theta > \theta_R \end{cases}$ 

Criterion of bubble detection
 Parameter B<sub>b</sub>: assume bubbles with B smaller than B<sub>b</sub> can be detected



Number count of detectable bubbles

$$N(\langle B_b) = \int_{M_{\rm lim}(B_b)} dM \frac{dV}{dz} \frac{dn}{dM} \Delta z$$

## **Possible observations**

 Angular resolution θ<sub>FWHM</sub>=λ/D
 λ: frequency, =21(1+z)cm
 D: baseline length low resolution(LOFAR) 2km high resolution(SKA) 5km Density dispersion & Skewness
Non-Gaussianity

$$\Phi(\boldsymbol{x}) = \Phi_{\mathrm{G}}(\boldsymbol{x}) + f_{NL}(\Phi_{\mathrm{G}}^{2}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \langle \Phi_{\mathrm{G}}^{2}(\boldsymbol{x}) \rangle)$$

Power spectrum P(k)

$$\langle \Phi_{\rm G}(\boldsymbol{k}) \Phi_{\rm G}(\boldsymbol{k}') \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta_D(\boldsymbol{k} + \boldsymbol{k}') P(k)$$

Smoothed density dispersion

$$\sigma_M^2(M) = \langle \delta_R^2 \rangle = \int \frac{dk^3}{(2\pi)^3} W(R,k)^2 D(k,z)^2 P(k)$$

Smoothed Skewness

$$S_3(M) \equiv \frac{\langle \delta_R^3 \rangle}{\langle \delta_R^2 \rangle^2}$$
$$\langle \delta_R^3 \rangle =$$

Here

$$= \int \frac{d^3k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3k_3}{(2\pi)^3} W(R,k_1) W(R,k_2) W(R,k_3) \\ \times D(k_1,z) D(k_2,z) D(k_3,z) \langle \Phi(k_1) \Phi(k_2) \Phi(k_3) \rangle$$

## **Mass Function**

## Mass Function

■ Here:

$$\frac{dn(M,z)}{dM} = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\bar{\rho}}{M} \exp\left[-\frac{\delta_c^2}{2\sigma_M^2}\right] \mathcal{R}_{NG}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{NG} = \left[ \frac{d \ln \sigma_M}{dM} \left( \frac{\delta_c}{\sigma_M} + \frac{S_3 \sigma_M}{6} \left( \frac{\delta_c^4}{\sigma_M^4} - 2 \frac{\delta_c^2}{\sigma_M^2} - 1 \right) \right) + \frac{1}{6} \frac{dS_3}{dM} \sigma_M \left( \frac{\delta_c^2}{\sigma_M^2} - 1 \right) \right],$$



Non-Gaussian comes through S<sub>3</sub> terms

# f<sub>NL</sub> dependence of N(<B<sub>b</sub>)



# $f_{NL}$ dependence of N(< $B_b$ )

 Large positive f<sub>NL</sub> produces more number of bubbles from non-Gaussian tail

At higher z

less number of bubbles produced for same B<sub>b</sub>
 smaller bubbles (large B<sub>b</sub>)



# Ratio to the Gaussian case (1) Smaller bubbles $(\text{larger } B_{\text{b}})$ More numbers (not rare objects) Less significant Non-Gaussianity



Ratio to the Gaussian case (2) Higher redshift Only rare objects can be collapsed More significant Non-Gaussianity

### Time evolution of deviation from the Gaussian case

Small bubbles

Large bubbles



# S/N: signal to noise ratio



## Summary

# of Bubbles can be a good measure of Non-Gaussianity
B<sub>b</sub>

• Smaller  $B_b \rightarrow$  larger deviation from Gaussian

■ Smaller  $B_b \rightarrow$  less number of Bubbles

Optimal B<sub>b</sub> for given S/N
 S/N=10, B<sub>b</sub>=0.2 at z=11 (N<sub>NG</sub>(f<sub>NL</sub>=100)/N<sub>G</sub>~1.7)
 S/N=3, B<sub>b</sub>=0.1 at z=11 (N<sub>NG</sub>(f<sub>NL</sub>=100)/N<sub>G</sub>~1.9)

#### <mark>–</mark> Z

 ■ Higher z → larger deviation from Gaussian
 ■ Higher z → Less number of bubbles
 ■ Ex) B<sub>b</sub>=0.1, z=13 → N<sub>NG</sub>(f<sub>NL</sub>=100)/N<sub>G</sub>~2.5, BUT: N<sub>NG</sub>(f<sub>NL</sub>=100)<1</li>